1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 JEFFREY MARSHALL, 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-04-1657 DFL PAN P 12 VS. 13 DEPUTY CASTRO, et al., 14 Defendants. ORDER 15 On May 2, 2006, defendant Joseph Headley filed a motion for judgment on the 16 17 pleadings pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(c). Plaintiff has not opposed the 18 motion. 19 Local Rule 78-230(m) provides in part: "Failure of the responding party to file written opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any 20 opposition to the granting of the motion " On April 13, 2005, plaintiff was advised of the 21 22 requirements for filing an opposition to the motion and that failure to oppose such a motion may 23 be deemed a waiver of opposition to the motion. 24 Local Rule 11-110 provides that failure to comply with the Local Rules "may be 25 grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the ///// 26

Case 2:04-cv-01657-AK Document 100 Filed 06/01/06 Page 2 of 2

inherent power of the Court." In the order filed April 13, 2005, plaintiff was advised that failure to comply with the Local Rules may result in a recommendation that the action be dismissed.

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within thirty days of the date of this order, plaintiff shall file an opposition, if any he has, to the motion to dismiss or a statement of non-opposition. Failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed pursuant Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

DATED: May 31, 2006.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12 mars1657.46osc

_